

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,222	01/16/2002	Steven Doe	1076.41091X00	4398	
20457 75	20457 7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
	, TERRY, STOUT & K	WU, XIAO MIN			
	SEVENTEENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2674	TAL ER WONDER	
ALTIOTON,	VA 22207-7007			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/046,222	DOE, STEVEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	XIAO M. WU	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed  will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	· r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	лП	DTO (440)				
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) 🔲 Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) 🗍 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/2003</u> .	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/046,222

Art Unit: 2674

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Edgar (US 2002/0176113).

As to claims 1, 14, 16 and 17, Edgar discloses a display device to display data corresponding to a colored image with relatively dark and light regions so as to provide a visual representation of the image, without being illuminated by a separately energisable illumination source, configured so that the relatively dark regions are displayed lighter than indicated by the image data whereby to compensate for image darkening in the visual representation of the image produced by the display device. For example, Edgar discloses that the darker image (shadow image) is to be brighten of the detail in the darker region (see page 2, pp [0029], page 6, pp [0030], also see Fig.6).

As to claim 2, Edgar discloses filtering of the image so as to produce filtered image data wherein the relatively dark region is represented by the filtered data which corresponding to a region which I slighter than the relatively dark region (page 7, pp [0069]).

As to claim 3, Edgar discloses to filter the image data according to a predetermined transfer function (page 6, [0061-0065]).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,222

Art Unit: 2674

As to claims 4, 6, Edgar discloses the transfer function is selectively alterable according to settings made by a user (page 7, lines 5-6, left column).

As to claim 7, Edgar discloses that the enhance image is made in pixels basis.

As to claim 9, Edgar discloses the display is liquid crystal display (page 8, [0078]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edgar (US 2002/0176113) in view of Shimomura et al. (US Patent No. 5,406,305).

As to claim 5, it is noted that Edgar does not disclose a photodetector for detecting ambient light levels, and the transfer function being selectively alterable in accordance with the level of ambient light detected by the photodectetor. Shimomura is cited to teach a LCD display device including a photodetector for detecting ambient light levels, and the luminance of the display being selectively alterable in accordance with the level of ambient light detected by the photodectetor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified Edgar with the features of the photodetector as taught by Shimomura so that the luminance of the display can be adjusted in different levels of the ambient light.

As to claim 10, Shimomura discloses a selectively energisable light source (7) for illuminating the display.

Application/Control Number: 10/046,222

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claims 8, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edgar (US 2002/0176113) in view of Tanaka et al. (US Patent No. 6,614,498).

As to claims 8, 11-13 and 15, it is noted that Edgar does not specifically disclose that the LCD is a reflective type LCD used for videophone or PDA. Tanaka is cited to teach a LCD display which can be a reflective type of LCD (col. 13, lines 30-31) and can be used for a video phone (col. 16, lines 17-18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Edgar with the features of the reflective type of LCD used for a video phone as taught by Tanaka because reflective type LCD can save more power.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 6,094,185, 6,362,822, 6,481,851, 6,611,249, 2004/0071366 are cited to teach a display device with a backlight or with a control of dark image.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Xiao Wu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4721.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Shalwala Bipin**, can be reached on (703) 305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377

xw

October 15, 2005

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2674